

when stations began merging, I worried about what impact that would have upon the public and the first amendment and other rights of citizens. Sinclair is using public airwaves free of charge to influence an election and that is plain wrong. How can we have a free election in this country if stations are supporting a candidate in an airing such an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. If that documentary is shown, then "Going Upriver" should be shown right afterwards in some places and right before in other stations so it is fair and balanced.

Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I want all kinds of news about many types of issues from the vast array of stations including the BBC and abroad. I want to make up my own mind about issues and not have a station tell me how to think or how to vote. This is the United States of America, and I am proud to live here. I am proud of the ability of our citizens to accept and live with many different opinions on politics and religion. I don't want religion or political party to be that for the whole country. The diversity of this country is what makes us so very special.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to be more involved.

We need the FCC to protect us. Please do you what your country has asked of you to protect our best interests of freedom of speech, ultimately resulting in free elections.

Thank your for serving the public as a whole and looking out for the citizens.